

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/193,538	BILLING-MEDEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jehanne E Souaya	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Jehanne E Souaya

Status of Application: RCE filed

(3) _____

(2) Lisa Mueller

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 May 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

Reference cited in Response: *Cancer Principles & Practices of Oncology, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, page 2537*

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jehanne Souaya

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that a faxed copy of the reference had not been received after the previous interview. The examiner further indicated that she had not been able to obtain a copy of the reference through the Office because a year or an edition had not been indicated in the response (there are 6 editions for this reference) and therefore it was not clear which edition of the reference the response was discussing. The examiner again indicated that she needed a copy of the reference as the case needed to be worked on and the reference was required for consideration in response to applicant's traversal of the previous office action. Applicant's representative indicated that she would attempt to obtain a copy..

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/193,538	BILLING-MEDEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jehanne E Souaya	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Jehanne E Souaya.

Status of Application: RCE filed

(3) _____.

(2) Lisa Mueller.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

Reference cited in Response: *Cancer Principles & Practices of Oncology, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, page 2537*

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner requested a copy of the reference cited in the response, which was not included with applicant's response. Applicant's representative agreed to fax a copy of the reference.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Jehanne E Souaya

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)